Identification	Subject	PSIR 450: Comparative Politics		
	(Code, title,	3 Khazar credits		
	credits)	15 Weeks, 60 hours		
	Department	Political Science and Philosophy		
	Level	Undergraduate		
	Term	Autumn 2024		
	Instructor	Gulzhan Kulakhmet		
	E-mail:	gkulakhmet@khazar.org		
	Phone:	(+994 12) 421 10 93 # 213		
	Classroom/hou	Neftçilər, 41 Mahsati str., or virtually via Microsoft		
	rs	Teams		
	Office hours	TBA		
Prerequisites	None			
Language	English			
Compulsory/Elective	Compulsory			
Required textbooks	Core readings:			
and course materials	Kesselman, M. et al (2016) Introduction to Comparative Politics. Cengage			
	learning.			
	Doth I V % No	avitan V (2010) Foundations of Communitive Politics		
	Deth, J. V. & Newton, K. (2010) Foundations of Comparative Politics. Cambridge Siarroff, A. (2013) Comparing Political Regimes. University of Toronto Press Daniel C. Hallin and Paolo Mancini. 2004. Comparing Media Systems, Cambridge University Press. Heywood, A (2013). Politics. 4th ed. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.			
	110, 11000, 11 (2010). I office. Tured. There I office i digitare influentiali.			
	Supplementary readings:			
	Supplementary materials come from a broad as well as a multi-disciplinary range of academic articles and official documents. Students will be provided			
	with these materials either in electronic form or in hard copies.			
Course outline	This course introd	upos the students to the discipline that halps to comprehend		
	This course introduces the students to the discipline that helps to comprehend different complex concepts in comparison. Through cross-national analysis students process different variables that effect domestic policies as well as			
	foreign policy of	other countries. Broad context and analysis of different		
	systems will teach students how to approach the analysis and political phenomena of various countries and their current events that distinguish their			
	system, which in turn represents an interesting case to study.			
Course objectives	Help students to understand and make distinction between different			
9	_			
	systems and regin	mes		

	To enable students to come.	up with valid generalizations about			
	• To enable students to come up with valid generalizations about				
I coming outcomes	government and politics				
Learning outcomes	After the completion of the course, students should:				
		the comparative complexities and			
	difficulties in cross-national comparisons; - have an ability to establish causal connections between different phenomena				
	and how different variables and causes affect political changes;				
	- have an ability to conduct analysis longer-term causes of political changes				
	and continuities within nations.				
Evaluation	Form	Percentage (%)			
	Midterm written exam (based on 50% of the content)	35			
	Final written exam (based on 100% of the content)	35			
	Small academic paper	8			
	Presentation	12			
	Active Participation	10			
	Total	100			
n "	Paper:				
Policy	During the length of the course, the students will be offered to write a small				
	compulsory paper (no less and no more than 10 pages, 13 Times New Roman				
	font, line spacing: 1,5, the volume includes bibliography pages, but does not				
	include cover page and table of content. The paper represents maximum 20%				
	of the final grade out of 100; submission deadline: 10 December 2024 ; grace				
	period – 3 days, reducing the mark for the paper by correspondingly 1, 2 and				
	3 points; on the course-related topic of the student's choice (the topic must be approved by the instructor). The overall % to be awarded to the student by the instructor will be worked out from the following 7 different segments: paperformat, argument/research question's/methodology visibility in the text, discussion, conclusion, academic English, citations style, and bibliography.				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	Exams:				
	The midterms written exam (80 minutes) will be based on the 50% of				
	course-associated content, while for the	ne final written exam (80 minutes) the			
	students must be ready to exhibit their knowledge of 100% of the course's				
	content. On both occasions, in clear hand-writing and using acadamic styl				
	the process of outlining thoughts, facts and ideas, students will be required to				
	process of outlining moughis, facts	and reces, stadents will be required to			

answer to 5 questions (for the midterm exam) and to 5 questions (for the final exam). A fair method of chosing the exam questions will explained in details before the event. The criteria of awarding a particular % for any of the two exams are as follows:

"0 – 6 %" - The student fully and thoroughly answers one of the three questions, but does not give any answer to the remaining question; Or the student does not appear to have an ability to establish causal connections between different events; Or the student have no sufficient competence in conceptualisation the phenomenon of integration, or theory building in the area of poltical science; "7 – 12%" - The student attempts to answer all three questions and demonstrate that the basic understanfing of the phenomenon under study as well as concepts existing in the field of political science, while causal connections between different events have been understood with non-significant factual errors;

"13 – 18%" – The student gives suitable answers to all three questions, showing her/his understanding of the phenomenon-associated politico-historic processes, while making attempts to conceptualise those with paradigms of international relations. Small factual errors are noticed.

"19 - 24%" – The student gives suitable answers to all three questions, showing her/his above-average understanding of the the phenomenon-associated politico-historic processes, while making successful attempts to conceptualise those with paradigms of international relations. No factual errors are noticed.

"25 - 30" – The student gives thorough answers to all three questions in a well-structured and well-presented academic manner. Very good conceptual thinking is noticed.

"31 – 35%" – The student offers her/his comprehensive insight into the fundamental issues related to the three questions, demonstrates outstanding competence in integration studies-focused conceptualisation and theory building in the field. The student's in-depth knowledge of the relevant literature, international vision, socio-cultural understanding and familiarity with the field's tools and techniques are noticed.

General notes:

Students following this course are not simply expected to listen and memorize the lectures but to study the reading materials well, to engage in class discussions and small group-works, and be able to demonstrate and debate his/her viewpoints. Therefore, students are expected to:

- attend classes on a regular basis as the classess are conducted in a combined lecture/seminat format where students should take an active part.
- read the assigned and suggested materials before coming to class
- engage actively in classroom discussions
- offer thoughtful and informed classroom presentations and written work

Students are required to comply with the attendance policy of Khazar University. Full-time students are expected to attend all classes unless they are on sick leave or have the permission of the instructor (approved absence). A student must submit a justifies absence request (either in person or via email) in anticipation of an absence from a particular class. In case if she/he fails to do so, her/his absence will be considered unapproved. Specifically, to be eligible for final grading, a student must not miss more than 25% of class hours (unapproved absences). Continuing unapproved absences or lack of participation may lead to dismissal from the course.

Academic misconduct

Academic honesty plays an essential part in maintaining the integrity of Khazar University. Students are expected to recognise and uphold high standards of intellectual and academic integrity. The following acts are examples of academic dishonesty, therefore are strictly forbidden and will, if proven, be penalised:

- plagiarism,
- cheating,
- unauthorised collaboration,
- falsification,
- multiple submissions.

Plagiarism is unethical in its nature and, thus, it is considered an <u>offence</u> under the University regulations. Please familiarise yourself with the regulations relating to plagiarism.

Plagiarism is copying other people's work without <u>proper</u> attribution. The students committing plagiarism and the students providing materials for plagiarising will automatically receive a zero (0%) for the course. Students

must always indicate that they used someone else's words and ideas if they have done so, by using quotation marks and mentioning the source in the text or a footnote. A bibliography must also follow the end of the paper.

Rules of Professional Conduct

The students shall behave in the way to create favorable academic and professional environment during the class hours. Unauthorised discussions and unethical behaviour are strictly prohibited. Classroom behaviour that seriously interferes with either (a) the instructor's ability to conduct the class or (b) the ability of other students to benefit from the course-related programme will not be tolerated. When a student's behaviour in a class is so seriously disruptive as to compel immediate action, the instructor has the authority to remove a student from the class on an interim basis, pending an informal hearing on the behaviour.

		Schedule
Date/Day (subject to change if there is a justified necessity)	Topics	Readings
Week 1 16.09.24	Introductive remarks: Why Study Comparative Politics Key Concepts and Variables	Kesselman, M. et al (2016) Introduction to Comparative Politics. Cengage learning. Chapter 1. Pp. 4-20. Deth, J. V. & Newton, K. (2010) Foundations of Comparative Politics. Cambridge. Chapter 1. Pp. 1-10.
Week 2 23.09.24	Understanding of the State State formation Theories of State	Deth, J. V. & Newton, K. (2010) Foundations of Comparative Politics. Cambridge. Chapter 1. Pp. 13-30.
Week 3 30.10.24	Classifying Political Systems Political Regimes	Kesselman, M. et al (2016) Introduction to Comparative Politics. Cengage learning. Chapter 1. Pp. 20-24
Week 4 07.10.24	Democratic Change and Persistence	Deth, J. V. & Newton, K. (2010) Foundations of Comparative Politics. Cambridge. Chapter 3. Pp. 53-65 Siarroff, A. (2013) Comparing Political Regimes. University of Toronto Press. Chapter 4. Pp. 77-97
Week 5 14.10.24	Presidential and Parliamentary Government	Deth, J. V. & Newton, K. (2010) Foundations of Comparative Politics. Cambridge. Chapter 5. Pp. 91-102

Week 6	Comparing Party Systems &	Siarroff, A. (2013) Comparing Political Regimes. University
21.10.24	Electoral systems	of Toronto Press. Chapter 7. Pp. 169-195
Week 7	Theories of Development	Materials will be provided as a separate document
28.10.24	Theories of Development	
Week 8	Midterm	
04.11.24	Whaterm	
Week 9	Holiday/ no class	
11.11.24	Holiday/ no class	
Week 10	Comparing States and their	Daniel C. Hallin and Paolo Mancini (2004) Comparing
18.11.24	Media Systems	Media Systems, Cambridge University Press, chs. 1-4
Week 11	Comparative Analysis	Kesselman, M. et al (2016) Introduction to Comparative
25.11.24	USA & UK	Politics. Cengage learning. Chapter 2 & 8
Week 12	Comparative Analysis	Kesselman, M. et al (2016) Introduction to Comparative
02.12.24	China	Politics. Cengage learning. Chapter 15
Week 13	Comparative Analysis	Kesselman, M. et al (2016) Introduction to Comparative
09.12.24	CIS & Russia	Politics. Cengage learning. Chapter 13
Week 14	European Union	Kesselman, M. et al (2016) Introduction to Comparative
16.12.24	European Omon	Politics. Cengage learning. Chapter 5
Week 15	Final Remarks and	
23.12.24	Discussion	
	Final exam	-

Additional readings and assignments will be provided by the instructor for this course. If neccesary, some minor modifications may be made to this syllabus by the instructor.